



## Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) Database

### 8 September 2003 Board of Governors Meeting

8 September 2003 Meeting: GOV/OR.1077, GOV/OR.1079, GOV/OR.1081	
	<b>Other Topics</b>
Armed Attacks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(GOV/OR.1077 – Para 54) ...condemned the recent bomb attack on the United Nations Headquarters in Baghdad and extended sincere condolences to the victims and bereaved families. Such attacks could not break the international community's will to assist the Iraqi people in regaining their national sovereignty. He was convinced that the United Nations would continue to play its role in Iraq.</li> </ul>
	<b>Safeguards</b>
Safeguards Agreements and Additional Protocols	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(GOV/OR.1077 – Para 55) ...welcomed Cuba's decision to sign a safeguards agreement and an additional protocol, and noted the significance of holding the 18<sup>th</sup> OPANAL General Conference in Havana in November 2003.</li> <li>(GOV/OR.1077 – Para 55) Likewise, he welcomed Iceland's decision to sign an additional protocol.</li> </ul>
Iran	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(GOV/OR.1077 – Para 79) ...commended the Secretariat for the extensive verification activities it had undertaken since June and expressed full support for its ongoing efforts to resolve outstanding questions. He welcomed the increased co-operation shown by Iran in supplying information to the Agency, allowing access to additional locations and in the taking of associated environmental samples. He encouraged Iran to continue to handle the issue with full transparency. He welcomed the letter dated 24 August 2003 informing the Director General that Iran was prepared to begin negotiation with the Agency on the additional protocol.</li> <li>(GOV/OR.1077 – Para 80) ...urged that co-operation between Iran and the Agency be accelerated, and that the issue be resolved through constructive dialogue within the framework of the Agency.</li> <li>(GOV/OR.1081 – Para 16) ...operative paragraphs 3 and 4 of the resolution asked Iran to take action which went beyond the provisions of both the NPT and an additional protocol. By setting a deadline at the end of October 2003, the resolution tied the Agency's hands. More importantly, it also meant that Iran's co-operation was no longer required after that date.</li> <li>(GOV/OR.1081 – Para 17) With regard to operative paragraph 4(ii), a legal interpretation was required of the words "unrestricted access" in connection with Iran's compliance with its current safeguards agreement. Those words were not even used in the additional protocol.</li> <li>(GOV/OR.1081 – Para 18) In the view of the Non-Aligned Movement, the words "definitive conclusions" in operative paragraph</li> </ul>

	<p>7 did not necessarily mean “final conclusions” but “appropriate or precise conclusions”. It therefore considered that it was not the intention of the resolution to forestall or hinder the Agency’s required activities in Iran before or after the November 2003 meetings of the Board.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR.1081 – Para 19) ...welcomed the increased co-operation between the Agency and Iran and encouraged Iran to continue intensifying that co-operation.</li> <li>• (GOV/OR.1081 – Para 20) The Non-Aligned Movement had full confidence in the Director General’s ability to discharge his responsibilities in an impartial, effective and professional manner and was convinced that the matter should be resolved peacefully within the Agency’s mandate.</li> </ul>
	<b>Peaceful Uses</b>
Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR.1077 – Para 80) The NAM recognized the basic right of all Member States to develop atomic energy for peaceful purposes, as well as the importance of achieving an appropriate balance between rights and obligations.</li> </ul>