



## Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) Database

### 20 November 2003 Board of Governors Meeting

20 November 2003 Meeting: GOV/OR.1084,	
Other Topics	
Armed Attacks / Terrorism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 6) ...condemned the recent terrorist attacks in Iraq and Turkey and offered NAM's condolences to the families of those who had died in the attacks.</li> </ul>
Safeguards and Verification	
Iran	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 80)... the Director General's report in document GOV/2003/75 described past failures of Iran which NAM had noted with concern. At the same time, the report also described the increased co-operation between Iran and the Agency and made it clear that Iran was intensifying that co-operation by taking the measures necessary in order to resolve issues as it had been called upon to do by NAM.</li> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 81) On 12 September, in resolution GOV/2003/69, the Board had decided that "it is essential and urgent in order to ensure IAEA verification of non-diversion of nuclear material that Iran remedy all failures identified by the Agency and cooperate fully with the Agency to ensure verification of compliance with Iran's safeguards agreement by taking all necessary actions by the end of October 2003". NAM welcomed the fact that since then Iran had, inter alia:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) provided a full declaration of all imported material and components relevant to its enrichment programme, including imported equipment and components stated to have been contaminated with HEU particles, and collaborated with the Agency in identifying the sources and the dates of receipt of the imports and the locations where they had been stored and used in Iran;</li> <li>(ii) granted the Agency - beyond its legal obligations - unrestricted access, including access for environmental sampling, to the Kolehdoz industrial complex and whatever other locations the Agency had deemed it necessary to visit for the purpose of verification of the correctness and completeness of Iran's declarations;</li> <li>(iii) acknowledged and resolved issues regarding the conclusion of Agency experts that, in the light of the present state of development of Iran's enrichment technology, the process testing of gas centrifuges must have taken place;</li> <li>(iv) provided complete information regarding the conduct of uranium conversion experiments; and</li> <li>(v) provided other information, including environmental sampling results, and explanations and taken other steps deemed necessary by the Agency in order to resolve all outstanding issues involving nuclear materials and nuclear activities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 82) NAM was pleased that Iran had actively co-operated with the Agency and remedied all failures and taken the urgent and essential actions required of it by the Board, even though some of those actions went beyond Iran’s current legal obligations. NAM was also pleased that Iran’s co-operation had resulted in the Agency stating that there was no evidence to date that the previously undeclared nuclear material and activities were related to a nuclear weapons programme.</li> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 83) On 26 September, the NAM Foreign Ministers had welcomed the increased co-operation between the Agency and Iran, had encouraged Iran to continue co-operating with the Agency and had invited all States to assist the Director General in establishing, in consultation with Iran, a co-operation framework within which all outstanding issues might be resolved. NAM would like the process to lead to an appropriate and clear conclusion as soon as possible.</li> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 84) NAM welcomed the statement made at the end of their recent visit to Iran by the Foreign Ministers of France, Germany and the United Kingdom, which spoke of agreement on measures aimed at the settlement of all outstanding Agency issues with regard to the Iranian nuclear programme and at the enhancing of confidence in the interest of peaceful co-operation in the nuclear field.</li> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 86) NAM had noted the announcement by Iran that, as a confidence-building measure, it would voluntarily suspend all uranium enrichment activities and welcomed Iran’s intention to conclude an additional protocol to its safeguards agreement with the Agency and to act in accordance with the provisions of the additional protocol pending its entry into force.</li> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 88) NAM hoped that the increased co-operation between Iran and the Agency would continue, with the support of other Member States, and that it would soon result in the full settlement of all issues through constructive dialogue within the framework of the Agency. It was of paramount importance to NAM that any Board decision be taken on the basis of consensus, in accordance with the spirit of multilateralism, and NAM stood ready to help bring about a consensus-based decision.</li> </ul>
	<b>NWFZ</b>
NWFZ in the Middle East	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 85) NAM had noted that the Foreign Ministers had committed their countries to co-operating with Iran in promoting security and stability in the region through - inter alia - the establishment of a WMD-free zone in the Middle East, would welcome the speedy establishment of a WMD-free zone in the Middle East in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the United Nations Security Council and the General Assembly, would like all parties concerned to take urgent practical steps to that end, and urged Israel to promptly place all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive Agency safeguards.</li> </ul>
	<b>Peaceful Uses</b>
Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR. 1084 – Para 87) NAM believed that all Member States had an inalienable right to develop atomic energy for peaceful</li> </ul>

	<p>purposes and attached great importance to the achievement of an appropriate balance between the rights and obligations of Member States.</p>
	<p><b>Safeguards</b></p>
<p>Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (GOV/OR.1084 – Para 89) ...NAM hoped that Member States would respect the technical nature of the Agency’s role as defined in the Statute and, with regard to the verification mandate of the Agency, believed that its verification activities should conform to the safeguards agreements concluded with Member States.</li> </ul>