Work Paper Summaries of First Session of the Preparatory Committee for the 2005 NPT Review Conference, 2007

NPT/CONF.2005/PC.I/WP.2: Working paper submitted by Indonesia on behalf of the members of the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear	
	Weapons
	Institutional issues
General views on NPT	 (Page 1-2) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] remain firmly convinced that the NPT is a key instrument in the effort to halt the vertical and horizontal proliferation of nuclear weapons. In this context, we recall that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference calls on the Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on these issues. All of the States Parties to the NPT should work towards a fair balance between the mutual obligations and responsibilities of the Nuclear Weapon States and the Non-Nuclear-Weapon States with a view to achieving the complete elimination of nuclear weapons.
Procedural matters	 (Page 1) In fulfillment of the 1995 and 2000 decisions on the strengthened review process, in particular paragraphs 4 and 5, the [Non-Aligned Movement] States Parties to the NPT that have convened here today are tasked to: Reflect on the progress made in the full realization of the objectives of the Treaty as well as the commitments and undertakings given at the 1995 Review and Extension Conference and the 2000 Review Conference; and, to make the procedural arrangements for the Review Conferences. (Page 6) The NAM States Parties to the NPT believe that this PrepCom should deal with all procedural issues necessary to take its work forward as well as with matters of substance as was decided at the 1995 and 2000 Conferences. We recall that the Final Document states clearly that "each session of the Preparatory Committee should consider specific matters of substance relating to the implementation of the Treaty and the Decisions 1 and 2, as well as the resolution ono the Middle East adopted in 1995, and the outcomes of subsequent Review Conferences". (Page 6-7) To this end, the PrepCom should substantially focus on nuclear disarmament so as to ensure that there is a proper accounting in the reports by the States of their progress in achieving nuclear disarmament. In this regard, we wish to recall that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference called for regular reports within the framework of the NPT strengthened review process by all States Parties on the implementation of Article VI and paragraph 4 (c) of the 1995 Decision on "Principles and Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament."

	• (Page 7) The NAM States Parties to the NPT further recall that
	specific time was made available at the 2000 Review Conference and during its preparatory process for the discussion on and consideration of proposals on the provisions in Article VI of the NPT and in paragraphs 3 and 4(c) of the 1995 Decision on "Principals and Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament," dealing with nuclear disarmament, as well as on the resolution on the Middle East adopted at the 1995 Review and Extension Conference.
	• (Page 8) In concluding, the Non-Aligned Movement wishes to reiterate its position, that the Review Conference, as well as the third/final PrepCom for that Conference, be chaired by representatives drawn from the Movement.
	• (Page 6) We reiterate once again our support for the convening of the Fourth Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly devoted to Disarmament. We continue to call for further steps leading to the Convening of the Fourth Special Session with the participation of all Member States of the United Nations as well as the need for SSOD-IV to review and assess the implementation of SSOD-1, while reaffirming its principles and priorities.
Strengthened	• (Page 1) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the
review process	Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] remain firmly convinced that the NPT is a key instrument in the effort to halt the vertical and horizontal proliferation of nuclear weapons. In this context, we recall that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference calls on the Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on these issues. All of the States Parties to the NPT should work towards a fair balance between the mutual obligations and responsibilities of the Nuclear Weapon States and the Non-Nuclear-Weapon States with a view to achieving the complete elimination of nuclear weapons.
Subsidiary body in Main Committee	• (Page 7) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] reaffirm the importance of establishing at the 2005 Review Conference a
	subsidiary body to Main Committee I to deliberate on practical steps for systematic and progressive efforts to eliminate nuclear weapons,
	as well as a subsidiary body to Main Committee II to consider and
	recommend proposals on the implementation of the resolution on the Middle East adopted by the 1995 Review and Extension
	Conference of the NPT. In this regard, we furthermore underline
	and emphasize the need for Preparatory Committee meetings- and also at this particular meeting- to include in their program of work,
	allocations of specific time for deliberations on nuclear disarmament and on the implementation of the 1995 Resolution on the Middle East.
Reporting	• (Page 7) The NAM State Parties to the NPT expect that the States

Universality	 Parties, in particular the Nuclear Weapon States, should submit reports to each PrepCom session, including this one. We expect that the reports on Article VI should cover issues and principles addressed by the 13 steps and should include specific and complete information on each of these steps. These reports should also address, inter alia, current policies and intentions, as well as developments in these areas. (Page 2) The NAM States Parties to the NPT wish to re-emphasize the urgency and the importance of achieving the universality of the Treaty, particularly by the accession to the Treaty at the earliest possible date of those States possessing nuclear capabilities, and resolve to make determined efforts to achieve this goal. (Page 3) We also recall that the Final Document of the 2000 NPT Review Conference urged the two nuclear capable States in South Asia to accede to the Treaty as Non-Nuclear-Weapon States and to place all their nuclear facilities under comprehensive IAEA Safeguards.
	Disarmament
General views on Disarmament	 (Page 1) All of the States Parties to the NPT should work towards a fair balance between the mutual obligations and responsibilities of the Nuclear Weapon States and the Non-Nuclear-Weapon States with a view to achieving the complete elimination of nuclear weapons. (Page 1) In accordance with the Movement's long-standing and principles positions on nuclear disarmament, the NAM States Parties to the NPT remain fully committed to their obligations and commitments under the Treaty and the agreements reached at both the 1995 and 2000 NPT Conferences. (Page 4) The NAM States Parties to the NPT reiterate their call for the full implementation of the unequivocal undertaking given by the Nuclear Weapon States at the 2000 Review Conference to accomplish the total elimination of their nuclear arsenals leading to nuclear disarmament. We expect that this undertaking will be demonstrated without delay through an accelerated process of negotiations and through the full implementation of the 13 practical steps to advance systematically and progressively towards a nuclear-weapon-free would lead to the fulfillment of the unequivocal undertaking given by the Nuclear Weapon States as well as the full implementation of the 13 practical steps, very little progress has, however, been made to this effect. (Page 4) In this regard, allow us to reflect on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the 2000 [NPT] Review Conference: We remained concerned at the slow progress towards

	· ··
	 nuclear disarmament; Although some progress has been made in bilateral and unilateral reductions, the total number of nuclear weapons deployed and in stockpiles still amounts to many thousands; There is to date no evidence of agreed measures to reduce the operational status of nuclear weapons; Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rationales for the use of nuclear weapons, as demonstrated by the recent policy review by one of the Nuclear Weapon States to consider expanding the circumstances under which nuclear weapons could be used and the countries that they could be used against; We are also concerned by the recent developments that threaten the principle of irreversibility of nuclear disarmament, nuclear and other arms control and reduction measures; The lack of progress in diminishing the role for nuclear weapons in security policies to minimize the risk that these weapons will ever be used and to facilitate the process of their total elimination. (Page 6) We reiterate once again our support for the convening of the Fourth Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly devoted to Disarmament. We continue to call for further steps leading to the Convening of the Fourth Special Session with the participation of all Member States of the United Nations as well as the need for SSOD-IV to review and assess the implementation of SSOD-I, while reaffirming its principles and priorities. (Page 6)We are concerned that no progress has been achieved toward the realization of the United Nations Millennium Declaration in which Heads of State and Government resolved to strive for the elimination of weapons of mass destruction, in particular nuclear weapons of the States and Government resolved to strive for the elimination of weapons of mass destruction, in particular nuclear weap
Bilateral	• (Page 4) In this regard, allow us to reflect on some developments of
agreements	concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the 2000 [NPT]
	Review Conference:
	- Although some progress has been made in bilateral
	and unilateral reductions, the total number of nuclear
	weapons deployed and in stockpiles still amount to

	many thousands;
Time bound total elimination (NWC)	 (Page 5) In this regard, allow us to reflect on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the 2000 [NPT] Review Conference: We continue to believe in the need for negotiations on a phased programme for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons with a specified framework of time, including an Nuclear Weapons Convention, and in this regard reiterate our call for the establishment as soon as possible and as the highest priority of an Ad Hoc Committee on Nuclear Disarmament. (Page 6) We again call for an international conference, at the earliest possible date, with the objective of arriving at an agreement on a phased programme for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons with a specified framework of time to eliminate all nuclear weapons, to prohibit their development, production, acquisition, testing, stockpiling, transfer, use or threat of use, and to provide for their destruction.
Humanitarian approach to disarmament	 (Page 5) In this regard, allow us to reflect on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the 2000 [NPT] Review Conference: We underline once again the unanimous conclusion of the International Court of Justice that there exists and obligation to pursue in good faith and to bring to a conclusion negotiations leading to nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control.
Outer Space	 (Page 4) In this regard, allow us to reflect on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the 2000 [NPT] Review Conference: The possible consequences of the decision by one of the States Parties to the Treaty on the Limitation of Anti-Ballistic Missiles (ABM) to withdraw from the Treaty bring new challenges to strategic stability and to the issue of the prevention of an arms race in outer space. In accordance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 56/23, we emphasize the urgent need for commencement of substantive work on the prevention of an arms race in outer space. The NAM State Parties to the NPT believe that implementation of a national missile defense system could trigger an arms race and the further development of advanced missile systems and an increase in the number of nuclear weapons;
Negotiations on fissile materials ban	 (Page 5) In this regard, allow us to reflect on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the 2000 [NPT]

/FMCT	Review Conference:	
	- The continued inability of the Conference on Disarmament to resume its negotiations on a non- discriminatory, multilateral and international and effectively verifiable treaty banning the production of fissile material for nuclear weapons or other explosive devices taking into account both nuclear disarmament and nuclear non-proliferation objectives;	
	Other Fora	
Conference on Disarmament	 (Page 5) In this regard, allow us to reflect on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the 2000 [NPT] Review Conference: The continued inflexible postures of some of the Nuclear Weapon States that continue to prevent the Conference on Disarmament, the sole multilateral negotiating body on disarmament, from establishing an Ad Hoc Committee on nuclear disarmament. We continue to believe in the need for negotiations on a phased programme for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons with a specified framework of time, including an Nuclear Weapons Convention, and in this regard reiterate our call for the establishment as soon as possible and as the highest priority of an Ad Hoc Committee on Nuclear Disarmament The continued inability of the Conference on Disarmament to resume its negotiations on a non-discriminatory, multilateral and international and effectively verifiable treaty banning the production of fissile material for nuclear weapons or other explosive devices taking into account both nuclear disarmament and nuclear non-proliferation objectives; and 	
	Nonproliferation	
General views on	• (Page 1) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the	
nonproliferation	Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] remain firmly convinced that the NPT is a key instrument in the effort to halt the vertical and horizontal proliferation of nuclear weapons.	
	Safeguards and verification	
General views on safeguards / verification	• (Page 3) The NAM State Parties to the NPT reaffirm the importance of achieving the universal application of the Agency's Safeguards system and urge all States which have yet to bring into force comprehensive safeguards agreements to do so as soon as possible. This has been considered by the 2000 Review Conference, as one main objective, to consolidate and enhance the verification system for the non-proliferation regime. We stress, in this regard, the	

	 importance of the IAEA's Safeguards system, including comprehensive safeguards agreements and also the Model Additional Protocols. However, we do not desire to see international efforts towards achieving universality of comprehensive safeguards wither in favour of pursing additional measures and restrictions of on non-nuclear-weapons States, which are already committed to non-proliferation norms, and which have renounced the nuclear-weapons option.
Legally binding instrument on security assurance	• (Page 2) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] reiterate our conviction that pending the total elimination of nuclear weapons, efforts for the conclusion of a universal, unconditional and legally-binding instrument on security assurances to Non-Nuclear-Weapon States should be pursued as a matter of priority.
	NWFZ
General views on Nuclear Weapon Free Zone	 (Page 2) The Non-Aligned Movement continues to consider the establishment of nuclear-weapon-free zones (NWFZs) created by the Treaties of Tlatelolco, Rarotonga, Bangkok and Pelindaba as a positive step towards attaining the objective of global nuclear disarmament. We welcome the efforts aimed at establishing new nuclear-weapon-free zones in all regions of the world and call for cooperation and broad consultation in order to achieve agreements freely arrived at between the States of the region concernedWe urge States to conclude agreements with a view to establishing new nuclear-weapon-free zones in regions where they do not exist in accordance with the provisions of the Final Document of the Special Session of the General Assembly devoted to Disarmament (SSOD-1) and the principles and guidelines adopted by the United Nations Disarmament Commission at its 1999 substantive session. In this context, we reiterate our support for Mongolia's nuclear-weapon-free status and consider that the institutionalization of that status would be an important measure towards strengthening the non-proliferation regime in that region.
NWFZ in the Middle East	• (Page 2) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] reiterate our support for the establishment in the Middle East of a zone free of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction and to this end, we reaffirm the need for the speedy establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free zone in the Middle East in accordance with the relevant General Assembly resolutions adopted by consensus and Security Council resolutions 487 (1981) and 687 (1991).
NWFZ and security assurances	• (Page 2) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] reiterate that in the context of nuclear-weapon-free zones, it is essential that Nuclear Weapon States should provide unconditional assurances

	against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons to all States of
	the zone.
	Regional issues: the Middle East
Israel	 (Page 2) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] call upon all parties concerned to take urgent and practical steps towards the establishment of such a zone and, pending its establishment to call on Israel, the only country in the region that has not joined the NPT, nor declared its intention to do so, to renounce possession of nuclear weapons, to accede to the NPT without delay, to place promptly all its nuclear facilities under IAEA Safeguards and to conduct its nuclear related activities in conformity with the non-proliferation regime. We recall that the 2000 Review Conference reaffirmed the importance of Israel's accession to the Treaty and the placement of all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive IAEA Safeguards, in realizing the goal of the universal adherence to the Treaty in the Middle East.
1995 Resolution and ME	• (Page 7) The NAM States Parties to the NPT also believe that the PrepCom should also substantially focus on the Middle East, and further recalls that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference called on all States Parties to the Treaty, particularly the Nuclear Weapon States, the States of the Middle East and other interested States, to report through the United Nations Secretariat to the President of the 2005 Review Conference on the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, as well as to the Chairperson of the Preparatory Committee meetings to be held in advance of the Conference, on the steps that they have taken to promote the achievement of such zone and the realization of the goals and objectives of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East. The NAM States Parties to the NPT expect that all States Parties to the Treaty, in particular Nuclear Weapon States, should submit reports in this regard as agreed in the 2000 Final Document.
	Nuclear Testing
General views on	(Page 3) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the
nuclear testing	Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] reiterate our long-standing principled position for the total elimination of all nuclear testing
СТВТ	 (Page 3) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons]wish to stress the significance of achieving universal adherence to the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty, including by all the Nuclear Weapon States which, inter alia, should contribute to the process of nuclear disarmament. We note that 165 States have signed the Treaty and 90 States have ratified it thus far. (Page 5) The lack of progress in the entry into force of the CTBT. In this regard, we call upon all States, in particular the Nuclear

	Weapon States, whose ratification is a prerequisite for the entry into force of the CTBT, to continue their efforts to ensure the early entry into force of the Treaty. We reiterate our belief that if the objectives of the Treaty were to be fully realized, the continued commitment of all States signatories, especially the Nuclear Weapon States, to nuclear disarmament would be essential;
Peaceful Uses	
General views on peaceful uses	• (Page 3) [The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] we recall that the NPT fosters the development of the peaceful uses of nuclear energy by providing a framework of confidence and cooperation within which those uses can take place. It is in this context that we reaffirm the inalienable right of the State Parties to the NPT to engage in research, production and use of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes without discrimination, and that free and unimpeded and non-discriminatory transfer of nuclear technology for peaceful purposes to all States Parties be fully ensured.

	NPT/CONF.2005/ PC.II/WP.19:
	Working Paper submitted by Malaysia
on behalf o	f the Group of Non-Aligned and Other States Parties to the
Т	reaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons
	Institutional Issues
Procedural matters	• (Page1, Para 1) In these endeavors, the [Non-Aligned] Movement will be guided by the decisions taken at the XIII Summit Meeting of NAM Heads of State or Government that was held in Kuala Lumpur last February. It is pertinent to note that the Summit, while addressing the wide-ranging ramifications of nuclear weapons and related issues, affirmed that multilateralism and multilaterally agreed solutions, in accordance with the UN Charter, provided the only sustainable method of dealing with the multiplicity of disarmament and international security issues.
General views on NPT	 (Page 1, Para 2) In accordance with the [Non-Aligned] Movement's long-standing and principled positions on nuclear disarmament, the NAM States Parties to the NPT remain fully committed to their obligations and commitments under the Treaty and the agreements reached at both the 1995 and 2000 NPT Review Conferences. (Page 1, Para 3) The Movement remains fully convinced that the NPT is a key instrument in the effort to halt the vertical and horizontal proliferation of nuclear weapons. In this context, the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference called on the Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on these issues. All of the States Parties to the NPT should work towards a fair balance between the mutual obligations and responsibilities of the nuclear and non-nuclear

	weapon states with a view to achieving the complete elimination of nuclear weapons. We reiterate our conviction that pending the attainment of this goal, efforts for the conclusion of a universal, unconditional and a legally binding instrument on security assurances to non-nuclear weapon states should be pursued as a matter of priority.
Universality	 (Page 2, Para 4) The NAM States Parties to the NPT wish to reemphasize the urgency and the importance of achieving the universality of the Treaty, particularly by the accession to the Treaty at the earliest possible date of those States possessing nuclear capabilities, and resolve to make determined efforts to achieve this goal. (Page 2, Para 5) We welcome Cuba's accession to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty. (Page 2, Para 7) We also recall that the Final Document of the 2000 NPT Review Conference urged India and Pakistan to accede to the Non-Proliferation Treaty as non-nuclear weapon states and to place all their nuclear facilities under comprehensive Agency Safeguards.
Article X	 (Page 2, Para 6) [The Non-Aligned Movement State parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] note the decision by the DPRK to withdraw from the NPT and express the view that the parties directly concerned resolve, through dialogue and negotiations, all issues related to the withdrawal of the DPRK from the NPT as an extension of their goodwill.
Reporting	 (Page 6, Para 19) To this end, in NAM's view, this Session should also substantially focus on nuclear disarmament so as to ensure that there is a proper accounting in the reports by states of their progress in achieving nuclear disarmament. In this regard, we wish to recall that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference called for regular reports within the framework of the NPT strengthened review process by all States Parties on the implementation of Article VI and paragraph 4 C of the 1995 Decision on "Principles and Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament". It is the Movement's expectation that States Parties to the Treaty, in particular, nuclear weapon states, should submit reports to each Session of the Preparatory Committee Session including this Session. Furthermore, the reports on Article VI should cover issues and principles addressed by the 13 practical steps agreed in the 2000 Review Conference and include specific and complete information on each of these steps. These reports should also address, inter alia, current policies and intentions as well as developments in these areas. (Page 6, Para 20) The NAM believes that the Preparatory Committee Session should also focus substantially on the Middle East and further recalls that the Final Document of the 2000 Review

	nuclear weapon states, the states of the Middle East and other interested states to report through the United Nations Secretariat to the President of the 2005 Review Conference and to the Chairperson of the Preparatory Committee meetings to be held in advance of the Conference, on the steps taken to promote the achievement of a NWFZ and the realization of the goals and objectives of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East. The NAM expects that all States Parties to the NPT, in particular, the nuclear weapon states, should submit reports in this regard as agreed in the 2000 Final Document.
Strengthened	• (Page 6, Para 18) The issues raised at the Preparatory Meetings need
review process	 (Fuge 6, Para 21) The NAM States Parties to the NPT also believes that the Preparatory Committee should also substantially focus on security assurances. (Page 7, Para 22) The NAM States Parties to the NPT further recall that specific time was made available at the 2000 Review Conference and also to he provisions in Article VI and in paragraphs 3 and 4 C of the 1995 Review conference in the 2000 Review Conference and also the provision on the Middle East adopted at the The NAM States Parties to the NPT further recall that the Preparatory Committee should also substantially focus on security assurances. (Page 7, Para 22) The NAM States Parties to the NPT further recall that specific time was made available at the 2000 Review Conference and during its preparatory process for the discussion on, and consideration of, proposals on the provisions in Article VI and in paragraphs 3 and 4 C of the 1995 Decision on "Principles and Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament" dealing with nuclear disarmament, as well as on the resolution on the Middle East adopted at the 1995 Review and Extension Conferencewe furthermore underline and emphasize the need for Preparatory Committee meetings – and also at this particular meeting – and to include in its program of work, allocation of
	specific time for deliberations on nuclear disarmament and on the
	implementation of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East and
Subsidiary body in	 security assurances. (Page 7, Para 22) [the Non-Aligned Movement State parties to
Main Committee	• (Page 7, Para 22) [the Non-Aligned Movement State parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] reaffirm
	the importance of establishing at the 2005 Review Conference a subsidiary body to Main Committee I to deliberate on practical steps for systematic and progressive efforts to eliminate nuclear weapons, as well as a subsidiary body to Main Committee II to consider and recommend proposals on the implementation of the resolution on the Middle East adopted by the 1995 Review and Extension

	Conference of the NPT.
	Security Assurances
General views on security assurances	• (Page 6, Para 21) The NAM States Parties to the NPT also believes that the Preparatory Committee should also substantially focus on security assurances. The 2000 Review Conference "agreed that legally binding security assurances by the five nuclear weapon states to the non-nuclear weapon states parties strengthen the Nuclear non-proliferation regime" and "calls on the Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on this issue". The Heads of State or Government of the Non-Aligned Movement reaffirmed at the recent Summit meeting in Kuala Lumpur that the total elimination of nuclear weapons is the only absolute guarantee against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons. They reiterated their conviction that pending the total elimination of nuclear weapons efforts for the conclusion of a universal, unconditional and legally binding instrument on security assurances to non-nuclear-weapon states should be pursued as a matter of priority.
Legally binding security assurance	• (Page 1, Para 3) [The Non-Aligned Movement] reiterate[s] our conviction that pending the attainment of this goal, efforts for the conclusion of a universal, unconditional and a legally binding instrument on security assurances to non-nuclear weapon states should be pursued as a matter of priority.
	Regional issues: the Middle East
General views on NWFZ in the Middle East	• (Page 2, Para 4) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reaffirms its support for the establishment in the Middle East of a zone free of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction and the need for its speedy establishment We call upon all parties concerned to take urgent and practical steps toward the establishment of such a zone
	 (Page 6, Para 20) The NAM believes that the Preparatory Committee sessions should also focus substantially on the Middle East and further recalls that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference calls on all States Parties to the Treaty, particularly the nuclear weapon states, the states of the Middle East and other interested states to report through the United Nations Secretariat to the President of the 2005 Review Conference and to the Chairperson of the Preparatory Committee meetings to be held in advance of the Conference, on the steps taken to promote the achievement of a NWFZ and the realization of the goals and objectives of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East. (Page 7, Para 22)we reaffirm the importance of establishing at the 2005 Review Conferencea subsidiary body to Main Committee II to consider and recommend proposals on the implementation of the resolution on the Middle East adopted by the 1995 Review and Extension Conference of the NPT. In this regard,

Israel	 we furthermore underline and emphasize the need for Preparatory Committee meetings – and also at this particular meeting – and to include in its program of work, allocation of specific time for deliberations on the implementation of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East (Page 2, Para 4) [the Non-Aligned Movement State parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] call upon all parties concerned to take urgent and practical steps toward the establishment of such a zone and, pending its establishment to call on Israel, the only country in the region that has not joined the NPT, nor declared its intention to do so, to renounce the possession of nuclear weapons, to accede to the NPT without delay, to place promptly all its nuclear facilities under IAEA Safeguards and to conduct all its nuclear related activities in conformity with the non-proliferation regime. We recall that the 2000 Review Conference reaffirmed the importance of Israel's accession to the Treaty and the placement of all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive IAEA Safeguards, in realizing the goal of universal adherence to the Treaty in the Middle East.
	NWFZ
General views on Nuclear Weapon Free Zones	• (Page 2, Para 8) The [Non-Aligned] Movement continues to consider the establishment of nuclear-weapon-free-zones (NWFZs) created by the Treaties of Tlatelolco, Rarotonga, Bangkok and
	 Pelindaba as positive steps toward attaining the objective of global nuclear disarmament. We welcome the efforts aimed at establishing new NWFZs in all regions of the world and call for cooperation and broad consultation in order to achieve agreements freely arrived at between the States of the region concerned. We reiterate that in the context of NWFZs, it is essential that nuclear-weapon states should provide unconditional assurances against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons to all States of the zone. We urge States to conclude agreements with a view to establishing new NWFZs in regions where they do not exist in accordance with the provisions of the Final Document of the First Special Session of the General Assembly Devoted to Disarmament (SSOD I) and the principles and guidelines adopted by the United Nations Disarmament Commission at its 1999 substantive session. In this context, we reiterate our support for Mongolia's nuclear-weapon-free status and consider that the institutionalization of that status would be an important measure towards strengthening the non-proliferation regime in that region. (Page 2, Para 9) The Movement welcomes the ratification by Cuba of the Tlatelolco Treaty. In this connection, all the Latin American and Caribbean States are now parties to the Treaty and brought the Treaty into full force in its area of application. The NAM also welcomes the on-going consultations between ASEAN and the nuclear weapon states on the Protocol of the Southeast Asian

NWFZ and security assurances	 Nuclear-Weapon-Free-Zone (SEANWFZ) Treaty and urged the nuclear weapon states to become parties to the Protocol of the Treaty as soon as possible; likewise we welcome the decision by all five Central Asian States to sign the Central Asian Nuclear-Weapon-Free-Zone Treaty as soon as possible. The NAM has also expressed its support for the initiative of convening an international conference of States parties, ratifiers and signatories to the Treaties of Tlatelolco, Rarotonga, Bangkok and Pelindaba to discuss and implement further ways and means of cooperation among themselves, their Treaty agencies and other interested States, at an appropriate time. (Page 2, Para 8)[The Non-Aligned Movement] reiterate[s] that in the context of NWFZs, it is essential that nuclear-weapon states should provide unconditional assurances against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons to all States of the zone
General views on	• (Page 3, Para 10) [the Non-Aligned Movement] reiterate[s] our
nuclear testing	• (Fage 5, Fata 10) [the Ron-Angled Movement] reference[s] out long-standing and principled position for the total elimination of all nuclear testing and, in this regard, wish to stress the significance of achieving universal adherence to the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty, including by all the nuclear weapon states, which inter alia, should contribute to the process of nuclear disarmament.
СТВТ	 (Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to reflect on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: The lack of progress in the early entry into force of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT.). The Movement stresses the significance of achieving universal adherence to the CTBT, including by all the nuclear weapon states, which, inter alia, should contribute to the process of nuclear disarmament. The Movement expresses its satisfaction that 167 States have signed the Treaty and 98 States have ratified it thus far. NAM reaffirms that if the objectives of the Treaty were to be fully realized, the continued commitment of all States signatories, especially the nuclear weapon states, to nuclear disarmament would be essential;
	Safeguards and verification
General views on	• (Page 3, Para 11) The NAM States Parties to the NPT reaffirm the
safeguards /	importance of achieving the universal application of IAEA's
verification	Safeguards system and urge all states which have yet to bring into force comprehensive safeguards agreements to do so as soon as possible. This has been considered by the 2000 Review Conference, as one main objective, to consolidate and enhance the verification system for the non-proliferation regime. We stress in this regard, the importance of IAEA's Safeguards system, including comprehensive safeguards agreements and also the Model Additional Protocols. However, we do not desire to see international efforts towards

IAEA	 achieving universality of comprehensive safeguards wither in favor of pursuing additional measures and restrictions on non-nuclear weapon states, which are already committed to non-proliferation norms, and which have renounced the nuclear-weapons option. (Page 3, Para 11) The NAM States Parties to the NPT reaffirm the importance of achieving the universal application of IAEA's Safeguards system and urge all states which have yet to bring into force comprehensive safeguards agreements to do so as soon as possibleWe also express our strong rejection of attempts by any member state to use the IAEA's technical cooperation program as a tool for political purposes in violation of its Statute.
General views on	
peaceful purposes	• (Page 3, Para 12) In this regard, [the Non-Aligned Movement State parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons] recall that the NPT fosters the development of peaceful uses of nuclear energy by providing a framework of confidence and cooperation within which those uses can take place. It is in this context that we reaffirm the inalienable right of the States Parties to the NPT to engage in research, production and use of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes without discrimination and that free and unimpeded and non-discriminatory transfer of nuclear technology for peaceful purposes be fully ensured.
Nuclear safety and security	• (Page 3, Para 13) [The Non-Aligned Movement] attach[es] importance to resolution 56/24 L of the United Nations General Assembly on the Prohibition of the Dumping of Radioactive Wastes and called upon States to take appropriate measures to prevent any dumping of nuclear or radioactive wastes that would infringe upon the sovereignty of States. We welcome the resolution adopted by the Council of Ministers of the Organisation of African Unity in 1991 (CM/Res.1356 {LIV}) on the Bamako Convention on the Ban on the Import of Hazardous Wastes into Africa and on the Control of Their Trans-boundary Movement within Africa. We call for effective implementation of the Code of Practice on the International Transboundary Movement of Radioactive Waste of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) as a means of enhancing the protection of all States from the dumping of radioactive wastes on their territories.
IAEA	• (Page 3, Para 13) [The Non-Aligned Movement] call[s] for effective implementation of the Code of Practice on the International Transboundary Movement of Radioactive Waste of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) as a means of enhancing the protection of all States from the dumping of radioactive wastes on their territories.
	Disarmament
General views on	• (Page 3, Para 14) The NAM States Parties to the NPT reiterate their call for the full implementation of the unequivocal undertaking

disarmamentgiven by the nuclear weapon states at the 2000 Review Conferent to accomplish the total elimination of their nuclear arsenals leadi to nuclear disarmament• (Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to refle on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Moveme since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: 	ng ct nt ds ds to w on w on es nt to se
 to nuclear disarmament (Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to reflet on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movement since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: We remain concerned at the lack of progress towar achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons; There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and no targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferati purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the rece policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	ct nt ds to w on es nt to se
 (Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to reflet on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movements since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: We remain concerned at the lack of progress toward achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons; There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and net targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferating purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the rece- policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	nt ds ds to work of the second
 on some developments of concern to the [Non-Aligned] Movemed since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: We remain concerned at the lack of progress towar achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons; There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and net targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferati purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the recerpolicy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	nt ds ds to work of the second
 since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: We remain concerned at the lack of progress towar achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons; There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and ne targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferati purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the reception of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	ds to w on es nt to se
 We remain concerned at the lack of progress towar achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons; There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and ne targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferati purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the reception policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	to w on es nt to se
 achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons; There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and ne targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferati purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the recepolicy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	to w on es nt to se
 There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and net targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferation purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the reception policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	es nt to se
 reduce the operational status of these weapons; The possible development of new weapons and net targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferation purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the reception policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	es nt to se
 The possible development of new weapons and net targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferation purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the reception policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	on es nt to se
 targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferation purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the reception of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	on es nt to se
 purposes further undermines disarmament commitments Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the reception policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who 	es nt to se
- Strategic defense doctrines continue to set out rational for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the rece policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who	es nt to se
for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the rece policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who	nt to se
for the use of such weapons, as demonstrated by the rece policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who	nt to se
policy review by one of the nuclear weapon states consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who	to se
consider expanding the circumstances under which the weapons could be used and the countries against who	se
weapons could be used and the countries against who	
-	111
they could be used;	
- The lack of progress in diminishing the role of nucle	ar
weapons in security policies to minimize the risk the	
these weapons will ever be used and to facilitate t	
process of their total elimination	
- The inability of the UNDC to reach a consensus docume	nt
on "ways and means to achieve nuclear disarmament"	
its third and last substantive session in 2003.	ui
 (Page 5, Para 17) The NAM is also concerned that no progress h 	96
been achieved towards the realization of the Millennium Declarati	
in which Heads of State and Government resolved to strive for t	
elimination of weapons of mass destruction, in particular, nucle	
weapons, and to keep all options open for achieving this ai	
including the possibility of convening an international conference	10
identify ways and means of eliminating nuclear dangers	
• (Page 6, Para 19) To this end, in NAM's view, this Session [of t	
PrepCom] should also substantially focus on nuclear disarmame	
so as to ensure that there is a proper accounting in the reports)y
states of their progress in achieving nuclear disarmament	
• (Page 6, Para 21) The Heads of State or Government of the No	
Aligned Movement reaffirmed at the recent Summit meeting	
Kuala Lumpur that the total elimination of nuclear weapons is t	
only absolute guarantee against the use or threat of use of nucle	ar
weapons.	
• (Page 7, Para 22) The NAM States Parties to the NPT further rec	ıll
that specific time was made available at the 2000 Revie	W
Conference and during its preparatory process for the discussion of	n,
and consideration of, proposals on the provisions in Article VI a	nd

in paragraphs 3 and 4 C of the 1995 Decision on "Principles	
Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament" des	alıng
with nuclear disarmament	
• (Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to re	
eements on some developments of concern to the Movement since the	First
Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows:	
- Despite some progress made in the context of bilateral	and
unilateral reductions, thousands of these weapons	are
deployed and their numbers are unconfirmed, given	the
lack of transparency in various weapons programs	
- While noting the signing of the Treaty on Stra	egic
Offensive Reduction between the Russian Federation	and
the United States on 24 May 2002, [the Non-Ali	gned
Movement] stress that reductions in deployments an	d in
operational status cannot substitute for irreversible cu	s in,
and the total elimination of, nuclear weapons	
C (time bound • (Page 5, Para 17) [the Non-Aligned Movement] again call[s] for
an international conference, at the earliest possible date, with	the
objective of arriving at an agreement on a phased program fo	the
complete elimination of nuclear weapons, with a spec	ified
framework of time to eliminate all nuclear weapons, to prohibit	their
development, production, acquisition, testing, stockpiling, tran	sfer,
use or threat of use, and to provide for their destruction. We are	also
deeply concerned about the progressive erosion of multilatera	lism
and emphasize the importance of collective international effor	ts to
enhance and maintain international peace and security.	
sile material • (Page 5, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to re	flect
ICT on some developments of concern to the Movement since the	
Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows:	
- The continued inability of the Conference	on
Disarmament to resume its negotiations on a	non-
discriminatory, multilateral and internationally	and
effectively verifiable treaty banning the production	on of
fissile materials for nuclear weapons and	other
explosive devices, taking into account both nu	clear
disarmament and non-proliferation objectives;	
manitarian • (Page 5, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to re	flect
oroach to on some developments of concern to the Movement since the	First
Armament Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows:	
- The [Non-Aligned] Movement underlines once a	gain
the unanimous conclusion of the International C	Court
of Justice that there exists an obligation to pursu	ie in
good faith and to bring to a conclusion negotia	ions
leading to nuclear disarmament in all its as	pects
under strict and effective international control. N	IAM
regrets that no progress has been made in	the

Γ	
	fulfillment of this obligation despite the lapse of almost seven years.
Outer Space	 (Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to reflect on some developments of concern to the Movement since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: The abrogation of the Treaty on the Limitation of Anti-Ballistic Missiles (ABM) brings new challenges to strategic stability and the prevention of an arms race in outer space. We remain concerned that the implementation of a national missile defence system could trigger an arms race(s) and the further development of advanced missile systems and an increase in the number of nuclear weapons. In accordance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 57/57, we emphasize the urgent need for the commencement of substantive work, in the Conference on Disarmament, on the prevention of an arms race in outer space;
	Nonproliferation
General views on	(Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to reflect
nonproliferation	• (Fage 4, Fara 15) In this regard, anow us, Wr. Channah, to reflect on some developments of concern to the Movement since the First
nonpromeration	Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows:
	- The possible development of new weapons and new targeting options to serve aggressive counter-proliferation purposes further undermines
	disarmament commitments
	Other Fora
Conference on Disarmament	 (Page 4, Para 15) In this regard, allow us, Mr. Chairman, to reflect on some developments of concern to the Movement since the First Session of the Preparatory Committee as follows: The continued inflexible postures of some nuclear weapon states that has prevented the Conference on Disarmament, the sole multilateral negotiating body on disarmament, from establishing an Ad Hoc Committee on nuclear disarmament. We continue to believe in the need for negotiations on a phased program for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons with a specified framework of time, including a Nuclear Weapons Convention. In this regard, we reiterate our call to establish, as soon as possible, and as the highest priority, an Ad Hoc
SSOD	• (Page 5, Para 16) These issues of concern to the Movement reflect a deepening crisis in multilateral disarmament diplomacy. Strengthening the role of the United Nations in resolving these issues is a collective responsibility. It offers the basis for developing

	and giving substance to a comprehensive disarmament process at all
	evels. Hence, we reiterate our support for the convening of the
	Fourth United Nations Special Session Devoted to Disarmament
	SSOD IV) with the participation of all member states on the basis
	of the need to review and assess the implementation of the Final
	Document adopted by SSOD I, while reaffirming its principles and
	priorities. NAM welcomed the decision by the General Assembly to
	establish an open-ended working group to consider the objectives
	and agenda including the possibility of establishing the preparatory
	committee for the Special Session. In this regard, the Movement
	ooks forward to more substantive and interactive sessions of the
	open-ended working group and its positive recommendations with a
,	view to facilitating the convening of the Special session.

NPT/CONF.2005/PC.III/WP.24: Working paper submitted by Malaysia on behalf of the Group of Non-Aligned and Other States Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons	
	Institutional Issues
General on NPT	• (Page 2, Para 4) The Non-Aligned States Parties to the NPT emphasize the importance of the full and non-selective implementation of the Treaty in nuclear disarmament, non- proliferation and the peaceful uses of nuclear energy. In this context, the Movement remains fully convinced that the NPT is a key instrument in the efforts to halt the vertical and horizontal proliferation of nuclear weapons and an essential foundation for the pursuit of nuclear disarmament. All of the States Parties to the NPT should work towards a fair balance between the mutual obligations and responsibilities under the Treaty with a view to achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons. The Movement underscores that the indefinite extension of the NPT does not imply the indefinite possession by the nuclear-weapon-states of their nuclear weapons arsenals, and considers, in this regard, that any assumption of indefinite possession of nuclear weapons is incompatible with the integrity and sustainability of the nuclear non-proliferation regime both vertical and horizontal, and with the broader objective of maintaining international peace and security. The Movement reaffirms that the total elimination of nuclear weapons is the only absolute guarantee against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons. The Movement reiterates its conviction that pending the total elimination of nuclear weapons efforts for the conclusion of a universal, unconditional and legally binding instrument on security assurances to non-nuclear-weapon-states should be pursued as a matter of priority.
Strengthened	• (Page 7, Para 22) The Non-Aligned States Parties to the NPT
review process	believe that the First and Second Sessions of the Preparatory Committee have dealt with most procedural issues necessary for the

2005 Review Conference. Yet, the Movement emphasizes the need for a substantive interaction beyond formal exchange of views between the States Parties at these meetings. The issues raised at the Preparatory Meetings need to be addressed so as to continue strengthening the implementation of the Treaty and the undertakings agreed upon at the 2000 NPT Review Conference, and also to lay the necessary foundation for the development of recommendations at the Third Session of the Preparatory Committee for the 2005 Review Conference. It should be recalled that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference states clearly that "each session of the Preparatory Committee should consider specific matters of substance relating to the implementation of the Treaty and the Decisions 1 and 2, as well as the resolution on the Middle East adopted in 1995, and the outcomes of subsequent Review Conferences".

- (Page 8, Para 26) The Non-Aligned States Parties to the NPT further recall that specific time was made available at the 2000 Review Conference and during its preparatory process for the discussion on, and consideration of, proposals on the provisions in Article VI and in paragraphs 3 and 4 C of the 1995 Decision on "Principles and Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament" dealing with nuclear disarmament, as well as on the resolution on the Middle East adopted at the 1995 Review and Extension Conference. In this context, the Movement reaffirm the importance of establishing at the 2005 Review Conference a subsidiary body to Main Committee I to deliberate on practical steps for systematic and progressive efforts to eliminate nuclear weapons, as well as a subsidiary body to Main Committee II to consider and recommend proposals on the implementation of the resolution on the Middle East adopted by the 1995 Review and Extension Conference of the NPT. In this regard, the Movement furthermore underlines and emphasizes the need for Preparatory Committee meetings, in particular the Third Session of the Preparatory Committee, to include in its Programme of Work, allocation of specific time for deliberations on nuclear disarmament. implementation of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East and security assurances.
- (Page 8, Para 25) The Non-Aligned States Parties to the NPT also believes that the Third Session of the Preparatory Committee should also substantially focus on security assurances. The 2000 Review Conference "agreed that legally binding security assurances by the five nuclear-weapon-states to the non-nuclear-weapon-states parties strengthen the nuclear non-proliferation regime" and "calls on the Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on this issue". In view of the Decision at the 2000 Review Conference that this Preparatory Committee is to

 of security assurances and in view of the NAM Heads of State or Government's principled position on this issue, the Movement calls for specific time to be allocated in the Programme of Work at this Session of the Preparatory Committee for the consideration of security assurances and for the establishment of a subsidiary body at the 2005 Review Conference for further work to be undertaken. Procedural matters (Page 1, Para 1) This Preparatory Committee for the 2005 Review Conference of Parties to the Treaty on the Non-proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) is meeting at a critical juncture to reflect on the progress made in the full implementation of the Treaty as well as the commitments and undertakings given at the 1995 Review and Extension Conference and the 2000 Review Conference. In accordance with the decisions of the 2000 Review Conference. In accordance with the decisions of the 2000 Review Conference. Also as determined by the 2000 Review Conference, a consensus report containing recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on the issue of security assurances. (Page 1, Para 3) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reaffirms its long-standing and principled positions on nuclear disamament and remains fully committee to their obligations and commitments under the Treaty and the agreements reached at both the 1995 and 2000 RVFT Review Conferences. In this regard, the Movement wishes to recall its comprehensive working papers submitted during the 2000 Review Conference, the First and Second Sessions of the Preparatory Committee in 2002 and 2003 respectively as contained in documents NPT/CONF.2007/PC.JWP.14 and NPT/CONF.2005/PC.JWP.2, NPT/CONF.2005/PC.JWP.14 and NPT/CONF.2005/PC.JWP.19. (Page 7, Para 20) The Movement reiterates its support for the convening of the Fourth United Nations Special Session Devoted to Disarament (SSOD-IV) with the participation of all member states on the basis of the need to review and assess the implementation of the Final Do		mala manufations to the 2005 D i C f
 Conference of Parties to the Treaty on the Non-proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) is meeting at a critical juncture to reflect on the progress made in the full implementation of the Treaty as well as the commitments and undertakings given at the 1995 Review and Extension Conference and the 2000 Review Conference. In accordance with the decisions of the 2000 Review Conference, this Preparatory Committee is mandated to make every effort to produce a consensus report containing recommendations and to finalize procedural arrangements to the 2005 Review Conference. Also as determined by the 2000 Review Conference, it is the task of this Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on the issue of security assurances. (Page 1, Para 3) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reaffirms its long-standing and principled positions on nuclear disarmament and remains fully committed to their obligations and commitments under the Treaty and the agreements reached at both the 1995 and 2000 NPT Review Conferences. In this regard, the Movement wishes to recall its comprehensive working papers submitted during the 2000 Review Comference, the First and Second Sessions of the Preparatory Committee in 2002 and 2003 respectively as contained in documents NPT/CONF.2000/18, NPT/CONF.2005/PC.I/WP.19. (Page 7, Para 20) The Movement reiterates its support for the convening of the Fourth United Nations Special Session Devoted to Disarmament (SSOD-IV) with the participation of all member states on the basis of the need to review and assess the implementation of the Final Document adopted by SSOD-I, while reaffirming its principles and priorities. The Movement werking group to consider the objectives and agenda including the possibility of establishing the preparatory committee for the Special Session. The 		Government's principled position on this issue, the Movement calls for specific time to be allocated in the Programme of Work at this Session of the Preparatory Committee for the consideration of security assurances and for the establishment of a subsidiary body at the 2005 Review Conference for further work to be undertaken.
and despite the lack of consensus in the working group, the Movement underlines the need for that issue to be referred back to the General Assembly for its consideration. In this regard, the Movement emphasizes the need to reconvene the open-ended	Procedural matters	 Conference of Parties to the Treaty on the Non-proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) is meeting at a critical juncture to reflect on the progress made in the full implementation of the Treaty as well as the commitments and undertakings given at the 1995 Review and Extension Conference and the 2000 Review Conference. In accordance with the decisions of the 2000 Review Conference, this Preparatory Committee is mandated to make every effort to produce a consensus report containing recommendations and to finalize procedural arrangements to the 2005 Review Conference. Also as determined by the 2000 Review Conference, it is the task of this Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on the issue of security assurances. (Page 1, Para 3) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reaffirms its long-standing and principled positions on nuclear disarmament and remains fully committed to their obligations and commitments under the Treaty and the agreements reached at both the 1995 and 2000 NPT Review Conference. In this regard, the Movement wishes to recall its comprehensive working papers submitted during the 2000 Review Conference, the First and Second Sessions of the Preparatory Committee in 2002 and 2003 respectively as contained in documents NPT/CONF.2000/18, NPT/CONF.2005/PC.I/WP.2, NPT/CONF.2005/PC.I/WP.14 and NPT/CONF.2005/PC.I/WP.19. (Page 7, Para 20) The Movement reiterates its support for the convening of the Fourth United Nations Special Session Devoted to Disarmament (SSOD-IV) with the participation of all member states on the basis of the need to review and agenda including the possibility of establishing the preparatory committee for the Special Session. The Movement contributed actively in the open-ended working group to consider the objectives and agenda including the possibility of establishing the place for that issue to be referred back to the General Assembly for its consideration. In this regard, the
working group on SSOD-IV.(Page 8, Para 26) The Non-Aligned States Parties to the NPT further		

	recall that specific time was made available at the 2000 Review Conference and during its preparatory process for the discussion on, and consideration of, proposals on the provisions in Article VI and in paragraphs 3 and 4 C of the 1995 Decision on "Principles and Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament" dealing with nuclear disarmament, as well as on the resolution on the Middle East adopted at the 1995 Review and Extension Conferencethe Movement furthermore underlines and emphasizes the need for Preparatory Committee meetings, in particular the Third Session of the Preparatory Committee, to include in its Programme of Work, allocation of specific time for deliberations on nuclear disarmament, implementation of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East and security assurances.
Subsidiary body in	• (Page 9, Para 26) [The Non-Aligned] Movement reaffirm the
Main Committee	importance of establishing at the 2005 Review Conference a subsidiary body to Main Committee I to deliberate on practical steps for systematic and progressive efforts to eliminate nuclear weapons, as well as a subsidiary body to Main Committee II to consider and recommend proposals on the implementation of the resolution on the Middle East adopted by the 1995 Review and Extension Conference of the NPT.
Reporting	 (Page 8, Para 23) To this end, in the [Non-Aligned] Movement's view, this Session should also substantially focus on nuclear disarmament so as to ensure that there is a proper accounting in the reports by states of their progress in achieving nuclear disarmament. In this regard, the Movement wishes to recall that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference called for regular reports within the framework of the NPT strengthened review process by all States Parties on the implementation of Article VI and paragraph 4 C of the 1995 Decision on "Principles and Objectives for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament". It is the Movement's expectation that States Parties to the Treaty, in particular, nuclear-weapon-states, should submit reports to each Session of the Preparatory Committee, including this Session. Furthermore, the reports on Article VI should cover issues and principles addressed by the 13 practical steps agreed in the 2000 Review Conference and include specific and complete information on each of these steps. These reports should also address, inter alia, current policies and intentions as well as developments in these areas. (Page 8, Para 24) The Movement believes that the Preparatory Committee sessions should also focus substantially on the Middle East and further recalls that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference calls on all States Parties to the Treaty, particularly the nuclear-weapon-states, the states of the Middle East and other interested states to report through the United Nations Secretariat to the President of the 2005 Review Conference and to the Chairperson

Universality	of the Preparatory Committee meetings to be held in advance of the Conference, on the steps taken to promote the achievement of a NWFZ and the realization of the goals and objectives of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East. The Movement expects that all States Parties to the NPT, in particular, the nuclear-weapon-states, should submit reports in this regard as agreed in the 2000 Final Document.	
Universanty	 (Page 2, Para 6) The [Non-Aligned] Movement wishes to reemphasize the urgency and importance of achieving the universality of the Treaty, particularly by the accession to the Treaty at the earliest possible date of those States possessing nuclear capabilities, and resolve to make determined efforts to achieve this goal. (Page 2, Para 7) The Non-Aligned States Parties to the NPT also recalls that the Final Document of the 2000 NPT Review Conference urged India and Pakistan to accede to the NPT as non-nuclear-weapon-states and to place all their nuclear facilities under comprehensive IAEA Safeguards. (Page 3, Para 9) The Movement welcomes the accession of Timor Leste to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty. 	
Article X	• (Page 3, Para 9) The [Non-Aligned] Movement notes the decision	
	by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to withdraw from the NPT and expresses the view that the parties directly concerned resolve, through dialogue and negotiations, all issues related to this withdrawal as an expression of their goodwill.	
Disarmament		
General views on disarmament	 (Page 5, Para 17) The [Non-Aligned] Movement remains concerned at the lack of progress towards achieving the total elimination of nuclear weapons. Despite some reports of bilateral and unilateral reductions, thousands of these weapons continue to be deployed and their numbers are unconfirmed, given the lack of transparency in various nuclear weapons programs. (Page 7, Para 21) The Movement is also concerned that no progress has been achieved towards the realization of the Millennium Declaration in which Heads of State and Government resolved to strive for the elimination of weapons of mass destruction, in particular, nuclear weapons, and to keep all options open for achieving this aim, including the possibility of convening an international conference to identify ways and means of eliminating nuclear dangers. The Movement again calls for its convening, at the earliest possible date, with the objective of arriving at an agreement on a phased program for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons, with a specified framework of time to eliminate all nuclear 	
	 weapons, to prohibit their development, production, acquisition, testing, stockpiling, transfer, use or threat of use, and to provide for their destruction. (Page 6, Para 18) These issues of concern to the Movement reflect a 	

	deepening crisis in multilateral disarmament diplomacy. The Movement is also deeply concerned about the progressive erosion of multilateralism and emphasizes the importance of collective international efforts to enhance and maintain international peace and security. In this context, the Movement reiterates its support to United Nations General Assembly resolution 58/44 on promotion of multilateralism in the area of disarmament and non-proliferation. Strengthening the role of the United Nations in resolving these issues is a collective responsibility. It offers the basis for developing and giving substance to a comprehensive disarmament process at all levels. The Movement recognizes the important role that the United Nations disarmament machinery plays in the area of nuclear disarmament and non-proliferation. The Movement reiterates its commitment to adopting measures to strengthen that role.
Time bound total	• (Page 6, Para 17) The [Non-Aligned] Movement continues to
elimination (NWC)	 believe in the need for negotiations on a phased program for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons with a specified framework of time, including a Nuclear Weapons Convention. The Movement underlines once again the unanimous conclusion of the International Court of Justice that there exists an obligation to pursue in good faith and to bring to a conclusion negotiations leading to nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control. The Movement regrets that no progress has been made in the fulfilment of this obligation despite the lapse of almost seven years. (Page 7, Para 21) The Movement again calls for its convening, at the earliest possible date, with the objective of arriving at an agreement on a phased program for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons, with a specified framework of time to eliminate all nuclear weapons, to prohibit their development, production, acquisition, testing, stockpiling, transfer, use or threat of use, and to provide for their destruction.
Role of NWS	• (Page 5, Para 16) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reiterates its call
	for the full implementation of the unequivocal undertaking given by the nuclear-weapon-states at the 2000 Review Conference to accomplish the total elimination of their nuclear arsenals leading to nuclear disarmament. The Movement expects that this undertaking be demonstrated without delay through an accelerated process of negotiations and through the full implementation of the 13 practical steps to advance systematically and progressively toward a nuclear- weapon-free world as agreed to in 2000. Despite the expectation by the international community that the successful outcome of the 2000 Review Conference would lead to the fulfillment of the unequivocal undertaking given by the nuclear-weapon-states as well as the full implementation of the 13 practical steps, very little progress has

	been made.
Bilateral	• (Page 5, Para 17) While noting the signing of the Treaty on Strategic
agreements	Offensive Reduction between the Russian Federation and the United States on 24 May 2002, the [Non-Aligned] Movement stresses that reductions in deployments and in operational status cannot substitute for irreversible cuts in, and the total elimination of, nuclear weapons. There is to date no further evidence of agreed measures to reduce the operational status of these weapons. The Movement also expresses its concerns that the non-entry into force of START II is a setback to the 13 practical steps in the field of nuclear disarmament adopted at the 2000 Review Conference.
Fissile materials /	• (Page 6, Para 17) the [Non-Aligned] Movement would like to
FMCT	address some developments since the Second Session of the Preparatory Committee: The continued inability of the Conference on Disarmament to resume its negotiations on a non-discriminatory, multilateral and internationally and effectively verifiable treaty banning the production of fissile materials for nuclear weapons and other explosive devices, taking into account both nuclear disarmament and non-proliferation objectives;
Humanitarian	• (Page 6, Para 17) The [Non-Aligned] Movement underlines once
approach to	again the unanimous conclusion of the International Court of Justice
disarmament	that there exists an obligation to pursue in good faith and to bring to a conclusion negotiations leading to nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control.
Outer Space	• (Page 5, Para 17) the [Non-Aligned] Movement would like to address some developments since the Second Session of the Preparatory Committee: The abrogation of the Treaty on the Limitation of Anti-Ballistic Missiles (ABM) has brought new challenges to strategic stability and the prevention of an arms race in outer space. The Movement remains concerned that the implementation of a national missile defence system could trigger an arms race(s), the further development of advanced missile systems and an increase in the number of nuclear weapons. In accordance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 58/36, the Movement emphasizes the urgent need for the commencement of substantive work, in the Conference on Disarmament, on the prevention of an arms race in outer space;
	Safeguards and verification
General views on	• (Page 4, Para 14) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reaffirms the
safeguards and	importance of achieving the universal application of IAEA's Safaguards system and urgas all states which have yet to bring into
verification	Safeguards system and urges all states which have yet to bring into force comprehensive safeguards agreements to do so as soon as possible. This has been considered by the 2000 Review Conference, as one main objective, to consolidate and enhance the verification system for the non-proliferation regime. The Movement stresses the importance of IAEA's Safeguards system, including comprehensive

	safeguards agreements and also the Model Additional Protocols. In this regard, the Movement welcomes the ratification of the State of Kuwait to the Additional Protocols and the signing of the Protocols by Cuba, Iran, Libya, Madagascar, Mauritius, Niger, Panama and Togo. However, the Movement does not desire to see international efforts towards achieving universality of comprehensive safeguards to wither away in favour of pursuing additional measures and restrictions on non-nuclear-weapon-states, which are already committed to non-proliferation norms, and which have renounced the nuclear-weapons option.
IAEA	• (Page 4, Para 14) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reaffirms the importance of achieving the universal application of IAEA's Safeguards system and urges all states which have yet to bring into force comprehensive safeguards agreements to do so as soon as possible. This has been considered by the 2000 Review Conference, as one main objective, to consolidate and enhance the verification system for the non-proliferation regime. The Movement stresses the importance of IAEA's Safeguards system, including comprehensive safeguards agreements and also the Model Additional ProtocolsThe Movement also expresses its strong rejection of attempts by any member state to use the IAEA's technical cooperation program as a tool for political purposes in violation of its Statute.
	Peaceful Uses
General views on	• (Page 2, Para 5) The [Non-Aligned] Movement recalls that the NPT
peaceful uses	fosters the development of peaceful uses of nuclear energy by providing a framework of confidence and cooperation within which those uses can take place. The Movement reaffirms the inalienable right of States Parties to the NPT to engage in research, production and use of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes without discrimination and that free, unimpeded and non-discriminatory transfer of nuclear technology for peaceful purposes be fully ensured. Therefore, the Movement emphasizes that nothing in the Treaty shall be interpreted as affecting this right.
Nuclear safety and security	• (Page 4, Para 15) The [Non-Aligned] Movement attaches importance to resolution 58/60 of the United Nations General Assembly on the Prohibition of the Dumping of Radioactive Wastes and calls upon States to take appropriate measures to prevent any dumping of nuclear or radioactive wastes that would infringe upon the sovereignty of States. The Movement recalls the resolution adopted by the Council of Ministers of the Organization of African Unity in 1991 (CM/Res. 1356 {LIV}) on the Bamako Convention on the Ban on the Import of Hazardous Wastes into Africa and on the Control of Their Transboundary Movement within Africa. The Movement calls for effective implementation of the Code of Practice on the International Transboundary Movement of

	Radioactive Waste of the IAEA as a means of enhancing the protection of all States from the dumping of radioactive wastes on their territories.
	Nuclear Testing
General views on testing	 (Page 4, Para 13) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reiterates its long-standing and principled position for the total elimination of all nuclear testing. Reiterating that nuclear tests of any kind, in addition to undermining nuclear disarmament and non-proliferation in all its aspects, are in themselves a threat to international peace and security. In this regard, the Movement wishes to stress the significance of achieving universal adherence to the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT), including by all the nuclear-weapon-states, which inter alia, should contribute to the process of nuclear disarmament. The Movement emphasizes that the development of new types of nuclear weapons is contrary to the guarantees given by the nuclear-weapon-states at the time of the conclusion of the CTBT, namely, that the Treaty would prevent the improvement of existing nuclear weapons and the development of new types of nuclear weapons and the development of new types of nuclear weapons of this international instrument. (Page 6, Para 17) The [Non-Aligned] Movement expresses its satisfaction that 171 States have signed the CTBT and 112 States have ratified it thus far. The Movement reaffirms that if the objectives of the Treaty were to be fully realized, the continued commitment of all States signatories, especially the nuclear-weapon-
	states, to nuclear disarmament would be essential. In this regard, the Movement welcomes the recent ratification of CTBT by Afghanistan, Algeria, Belize, Eritrea, Honduras, Kuwait and Oman. However, the Movement remains concerned with the lack of progress in the early entry into force of the CTBT. Nonproliferation
General views on	
nonproliferation	• (Page 7, Para 19) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reiterates the importance of addressing the challenges and problems by strictly abiding to the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law and, in this context, the Movement emphasizes that proliferation concerns are best addressed through multilaterally negotiated, universal, comprehensive and non-discriminatory agreements.
	NWFZ
General views on NWFZ	 (Page 3, Para 10) The [Non-Aligned] Movement continues to consider the establishment of nuclear-weapons-free-zones (NWFZs) created by the Treaties of Tlatelolco, Rarotonga, Bangkok and Pelindaba as positive steps toward attaining the objective of global nuclear disarmament. The Movement welcomes the efforts aimed at establishing new NWFZs in all regions of the world and call for

NWFZ in Middle	 cooperation and broad consultations in order to achieve agreements freely arrived at between the States of the region concerned. The Movement reiterates that in the context of NWFZs, it is essential that nuclear-weapon-states should provide unconditional assurances against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons to all States of the zone. The Movement urges States to conclude agreements with a view to establishing new NWFZs in regions where they do not existthe Movement reiterates our support for Mongolia's nuclear-weapons-free status and considers that the institutionalization of that status would be an important measure towards strengthening the non-proliferation regime in that region. (Page 3, Para 11) With the ratification by Cuba of the Tlatelolco Treaty, all the Latin American and Caribbean States are now parties to the Treaty and this has brought the Treaty into full force in its area of application. The Movement emphasizes the need to strengthen the integrity of the statute of denuclearization provided for in the Treaty of Tlatelolco by a review of the declarations that were formulated by the nuclear-weapon-state parties to Protocols I and II for possible withdrawal or modification. The Movement welcomes the ongoing consultations between ASEAN and the nuclear-weapon-states to become parties to the Protocol of the Treaty as soon as possible. The Movement stresses the importance of the signature and ratification by all regional States, as well as the signature and ratification by all five Central Asian States to sign the Central Asian Nuclear-Weapon-Free-Zone Treaty as soon as possible. (Page 3, Para 12) The Movement has also expressed its support for the initiative of convening an international conference of States parties, ratifiers and signatories to the Treaties of Tlatelolco, Rarotonga, Bangkok and Pelindaba in order to support the common objectives established in these treaties and to discuss and implement turther ways and means of cooperation among themselves, thei
	• (Page 2, Para 8) The [Non-Aligned] Movement further reaffirms its support for the establishment in the Middle East of a zone free of
East	nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction and the
	need for its speedy establishment in accordance with the relevant
	General Assembly resolutions adopted by consensus as well as
	General resolutions adopted by consensus as well as

NWFZ and security	 Security Council resolution 487 (1981) and paragraph 14 of the Security Council resolution 687 (1991). The Movement calls upon all parties concerned to take urgent and practical steps toward the establishment of such a zone and, pending its establishment to call on Israel, the only country in the region that has not joined the NPT, nor declared its intention to do so, to renounce the possession of nuclear weapons, to accede to the NPT without delay, to place promptly all its nuclear facilities under IAEA Safeguards and to conduct all its nuclear related activities in conformity with the non-proliferation regime. The Movement recalls that the 2000 Review Conference reaffirmed the importance of Israel's accession to the Treaty and the placement of all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive IAEA Safeguards, in realizing the goal of universal adherence to the Treaty in the Middle East. (Page 8, Para 24) The Movement believes that the Preparatory Committee sessions should also focus substantially on the Middle East and further recalls that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference calls on all States Parties to the Treaty, particularly the nuclear-weapon-states, the states of the Middle East and other interested states to report through the United Nations Secretariat to the Preparatory Committee meetings to be held in advance of the Conference, on the steps taken to promote the achievement of a NWFZ and the realization of the goals and objectives of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East. (Page 3, Para 10) The [Non-Aligned] Movement reiterates that in
assurances	the context of NWFZs, it is essential that nuclear-weapon-states
	should provide unconditional assurances against the use or threat of
	use of nuclear weapons to all States of the zone.
Concept riteres are	Regional Issues: the Middle East
General views on NWFZ in Middle	• (Page 2, Para 8) The [Non-Aligned] Movement further reaffirms its support for the establishment in the Middle East of a zone free of
East	 support for the establishment in the Wildle East of a Zone free of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction and the need for its speedy establishment in accordance with the relevant General Assembly resolutions adopted by consensus as well as Security Council resolution 487 (1981) and paragraph 14 of the Security Council resolution 687 (1991). (Page 8, Para 24) The Movement believes that the Preparatory Committee sessions should also focus substantially on the Middle East and further recalls that the Final Document of the 2000 Review Conference calls on all States Parties to the Treaty, particularly the nuclear-weapon-states, the states of the Middle East and other interested states to report through the United Nations Secretariat to the President of the 2005 Review Conference and to the Chairperson of the Preparatory Committee meetings to be held in advance of the Conference, on the steps taken to promote the achievement of a

Israel	 NWFZ and the realization of the goals and objectives of the 1995 resolution on the Middle East. The Movement expects that all States Parties to the NPT, in particular, the nuclear-weapon-states, should submit reports in this regard as agreed in the 2000 Final Document. (Page 2, Para 8) The [Non-Aligned] Movement calls upon all parties concerned to take urgent and practical steps toward the establishment of such a zone and, pending its establishment to call on Israel, the only country in the region that has not joined the NPT, nor declared its intention to do so, to renounce the possession of nuclear weapons, to accede to the NPT without delay, to place promptly all its nuclear facilities under IAEA Safeguards and to conduct all its nuclear related activities in conformity with the non-proliferation regime. The Movement recalls that the 2000 Review Conference reaffirmed the importance of Israel's accession to the Treaty and the placement of all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive IAEA Safeguards, in realizing the goal of universal
	adherence to the Treaty in the Middle East.
	Security assurances
General views on security assurances	 (Page 8, Para 25) The Non-Aligned States Parties to the NPT also believes that the Third Session of the Preparatory Committee should also substantially focus on security assurances. The 2000 Review Conference "agreed that legally binding security assurances by the five nuclear-weapon-states to the non-nuclear-weapon-states parties strengthen the nuclear non-proliferation regime" and "calls on the Preparatory Committee to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference on this issue". In view of the Decision at the 2000 Review Conference that this Preparatory Committee is to make recommendations to the 2005 Review Conference and in view of the NAM Heads of State or Government's principled position on this issue, the Movement calls for specific time to be allocated in the Programme of Work at this Session of the Preparatory Committee for the consideration of security assurances and for the establishment of a subsidiary body at the 2005 Review Conference for further work to be undertaken.
	Other Fora
Conference on Disarmament	 (Page 5, Para 17) In accordance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 58/36, the [Non-Aligned] Movement emphasizes the urgent need for the commencement of substantive work, in the Conference on Disarmament, on the prevention of an arms race in outer space (Page 6, Para 17) The continued inflexible postures of some nuclear-weapon-states that have prevented the Conference on Disarmament, the sole multilateral negotiating body on disarmament, from establishing an Ad Hoc Committee on nuclear disarmament. The

phased program for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons
with a specified framework of time, including a Nuclear Weapons
Convention. In this regard, the Movement reiterates its call to
establish, as soon as possible, and as the highest priority, an Ad Hoc
Committee on Nuclear Disarmament. The Movement underlines
once again the unanimous conclusion of the International Court of
Justice that there exists an obligation to pursue in good faith and to
bring to a conclusion negotiations leading to nuclear disarmament in
all its aspects under strict and effective international control. The
Movement regrets that no progress has been made in the fulfilment
of this obligation despite the lapse of almost seven years.
• (Page 6, Para 17) The continued inability of the Conference on
Disarmament to resume its negotiations on a non-discriminatory,
multilateral and internationally and effectively verifiable treaty
banning the production of fissile materials for nuclear weapons and
other explosive devices, taking into account both nuclear
disarmament and non-proliferation objectives;